ARTICLE IN PRESS

American Journal of Emergency Medicine xxx (2018) xxx-xxx

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

American Journal of Emergency Medicine

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/ajem



Medical toxicology education in US emergency medicine residencies

Keywords: Emergency medicine Medical toxicology Residency Education

Medical toxicology (TOX), a core content area of the American Board of Emergency Medicine [1] and an American Board of Medical Specialties (ABMS) recognized subspecialty of Emergency Medicine (EM), Pediatrics, and Preventive Medicine [2] focuses on the "diagnosis, management, and prevention of poisoning and other adverse effects due to medications, occupational and environmental toxicants and biological agents" [3] The importance of TOX training has long been recognized. In 1983, the American College of Emergency Physicians declared that "Emergency physicians should be qualified to render toxicologic care, and that they should be prepared by training and by facility organizations to fulfill this function." [4] There is limited literature describing US-emergency medicine (EM)-resident TOX education and training. We sought to describe this education through a survey of EM-residency-program directors.

Program and assistant program directors of the 164 Accreditation Council for Graduate Medical Education (ACGME)- approved US-EMresidency programs in the 2015–2016 academic year were asked to describe TOX-curriculum: the duration and nature of the rotation (mandatory/elective, activities), location of the rotation (<1 h. drive from home institution), the number of full-time -board-certified/eligible TOX faculty affiliated with the program, the number of TOX lectures given to residents each year outside of a TOX rotation, and the number of graduates pursuing TOX fellowship in the preceding 5 years. Responses were anonymous with the exception of program identification to prevent duplication of responses. For non-respondents, the residency website was queried whether a mandatory or elective rotation was part of the curriculum, the duration of the rotation, and the number of board-certified/eligible-full-time TOX faculty affiliated with the residency program. Information was obtained for all 164 ACGME-approved-EMresidency programs.

One-hundred-seven of the 164 ACGME approved EM residencies responded to the survey (65%). Figs. 1–4 describe survey results. TOX rotations were: 4 weeks (n = 67; 72%), 3 weeks (n = 7; 4%), 2 weeks (n = 18; 18%), and 1 week (n = 1; 1%). Nine programs described a mandatory rotation >1 h away from home institution with a mean of 6 h.; standard deviation (SD) of 4.2 h. (range: 2–12 h). Seven programs offered an elective rotation >1 h away with a mean of 4 h.; SD of 1.4 h. (range: 2–5 h).

Amongst programs offering rotations, there was a mean of 11 lectures per year (SD of 5.8 (range: 0–35)). Amongst programs with no available rotation, there was a mean of 11.6 lectures per year (SD of 7.7 (range: 0–20)). Programs without a rotation reported no graduates

pursuing fellowship while programs with a rotation (elective or mandatory) reported an average of 1.15 graduates (SD: 1.4; range: 0–7) (p=0.015). Programs offering a rotation had a statistically significantly higher number of TOX faculty than those without (p=0.008).

Amongst the 57 programs that did not respond to the survey request, 25 had neither a mandatory or elective rotation listed on their website. Only 5 of these programs had at least 1 board certified/eligible medical toxicology faculty. 8 programs offered an elective in medical toxicology and 24 offered a mandatory rotation. Programs with rotation had an average of 1.25 faculty (SD 1.22 and range 0–5). Duration of rotations was: 4 weeks (n = 20; 63%), 3 weeks (n = 3; 9%), 2 weeks (n = 6; 19%), and 1 week (n = 3; 9%).

We describe the nature of TOX education amongst US-EM-residency programs.

We demonstrate a statistically significant difference in the number of board-certified TOX faculty and graduates pursuing TOX fellowship present between programs offering a TOX rotation and those that do not. Graduates of 39 US EM residency programs (24%) have no formal toxicology rotation available to them during their residency. Others have an abbreviated formalized experience with rotations of two weeks or less. Even at programs with an available rotation, 23% had no full-time toxicology faculty available as part of the residency program. This suggests that many EM residents interact with toxicologists in a formalized setting such as during a rotation but perhaps not again during residency. The majority of EM residencies without an available rotation also have no full-time toxicology faculty (61%). More than half of survey respondents report 1 or fewer full-time toxicology faculty. This may have important implications regarding who is teaching EM residents about the management of the poisoned patient as well as who is directing the care of poisoned patients both in the ED and inpatient setting. Both the present study and previously described studies demonstrate that poison control center and toxicology hospital consult service education experiences are common in US EM TOX rotations [5,6]. The lack of a poison control center affiliation or dedicated toxicology consult service may therefore, by necessity, limit the ability to offer a TOX rotation in the minds of many residency program directors. Further research is necessary to determine both the nature of the optimal TOX rotation and the impact of limited full-time toxicology faculty exposure on EM residents.




Fig. 1. Toxicology rotations offered in EM residencies.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajem.2018.03.007 0735-6757/© 2018 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved. Medical toxicology education in US emergency medicine residencies




Fig. 2. Educational activities during toxicology rotation.

Financial support

None.




Fig. 3. Toxicology faculty at EM programs with toxicology rotations.




Fig. 4. Toxicology faculty at EM programs without toxicology rotations (n = 14).

References

- [1] Counselman FL, Babu K, Edens MA, et al, for the 2016 EM Model Review Task Force, Beeson MS, Keehbauch JN, for the American Board of Emergency Medicine. The 2016 model of the clinical practice of emergency medicine. J Emerg Med March 2017;52(6):846–9.
- [2] American Board of Medical Specialties. Specialty and subspecialty certificates. Available at: http://www.abms.org/member-boards/specialty-subspecialty-certificates/, Accessed date: 11 February 2018.
- [3] American College of Medical Toxicology. Introduction to medical toxicology. Available at: http://www.acmt.net/overview.html, Accessed date: 11 February 2018.
- [4] ACEP. The role of emergency medicine in toxicology. Ann Emerg Med 1983;12:56.
- [5] Caravati EM, Ling LJ. Toxicology education in emergency medicine residency programs. Am J Emerg Med mar 1992;10(2):169–71.
- [6] Hantsche CE, Mullins ME, Pledger D, et al. Medical toxicology experience during emergency medicine residency. Acad Emerg Med Oct 2000;7:10.

Michael A. Darracq MD MPH*

Patil Armenian MD

Department of Emergency Medicine, Division of Medical Toxicology, University of California, San Francisco (UCSF) Fresno Medical Education Program, United States

*Corresponding author at: 155 N Fresno St, Fresno, CA 93701, United States.

E-mail address: mdarracq@fresno.ucsf.edu (M.A. Darracq).

James Comes MD

Department of Emergency Medicine, University of California, San Francisco (UCSF) Fresno Medical Education Program, United States

Stephen Thornton MD

Department of Emergency Medicine, University of Kansas Medical Center, Kansas Poison Control System, United States

> 14 February 2018 Available online xxxx